**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2428 OF 2003**
**IN**
**CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 821 OF 2003**

Mahadeo Pandharinath Kate ...Applicant

Versus

M/s. Kate Developers & Contractors
& others ...Respondents

.....

Mr. Sushil Nimbkar, Advocate for Applicant

Mr. S.P. Kadam, Adv.for Respondents 1 to 3

Ms. S.V. Gajare, A.P.P. for State.

.....

**CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.**

**DATED: 21ST OCTOBER, 2005**

**P. C.:-**

1. Heard the learned advocate for the applicant, the learned advocate for respondents i.e. original complainant and the learned A.P.P. for State.

2. Sufficient cause has been made out for condonation of delay, hence, delay is condoned.

3. Application is disposed of.

*****